

Response from the Chair of Milton-Keynes Council

From: david@ukfamilylawreform.co.uk
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 7:30 PM
To: kerry.fane@stantonburyparishcouncil.org.uk
Cc: 2019councillors@stantonburyparishcouncil.org.uk
; peter.marland@milton-keynes.gov.uk
Subject: A referendum on 5G

Dear Graham Davison,

Milton Keynes council should ask their constituents if they want 5G or if the precautionary principle should be applied when there are now over 20k peer-reviewed studies which have been published over the last 70 years which show harm (adverse biological effects) caused by RF radiation. This maybe why Lloyd's of London EXCLUDES liability coverage for harm from RF-EMFs/wireless radiation.

The internationally-accepted guidelines from the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection which were introduced 29 years ago when only one animal study had been done only consider the thermal & not the biological effects.

Dr. Anthony Miller (who is a long time World Health Advisor) is calling for 5G to be classified as a group 1: carcinogen to humans now 3 animal studies have been done. He says Governments will not be able to ignore it. Dr. Anthony Miller also says the rollout of 5G must be prevented.

Dr. Barrie Trower has just done a 2 part interview on 5G which maybe you should also consider.

Part 1 <https://www.kla.tv/14256>

Part 2 <https://www.kla.tv/14257>

Please feel free to write to Peter Marland who is the Labour party leader of Milton Keynes Council who has no concerns about the rollout of 5G

From: Kerry Fane
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 12:58 PM
To: david@ukfamilylawreform.co.uk
Cc: 2019 Councillors ; 'peter.marland@milton-keynes.gov.uk'
Subject: SPC Response to 5G Emails Queries

Good Afternoon Mr Mortimer,

I have forwarded your responses again to our Chairman, Graham Davison who has asked me to give you the following response on

his behalf:

Dear Mr Mortimer.

Thank you for your email directing the Parish Council to the 5G Appeal. As we have noted previously, the Council have considered your email but I can further comment.

I've taken the time to read the Appeal and to follow up on some of the references given. Many have corresponding refutable comments and opposing research papers.

As a scientist and PhD level engineer I consider that I have a very broad understanding of most scientific principals and the fundamental basis of nearly all, rests on the concept of cause and effect. In this case there is much speculative theoretical conjecture and not any observable effects to support the hypothesis put forward that 5G is dangerous. It's now widely in use in the Far East since 2018 and I cannot find references to support the predictions being made in the Appeal.

In my life time I've witnessed many many expert predictions and try as I may I cannot truthfully say any have come to pass. Other members of the Parish Council may also have experience of such predictions.

By way of example I reproduce some of the "10 World shattering predictions" from the first World Earth day in 1970 based on the scientific "evidence" of world renound experts at that time. Of course there are many more contemporary predictions.

1. Harvard biologist George Wald estimated that "civilization will end within 15 or 30 years unless immediate action is taken against problems facing mankind." That would be by 2000

9. In January 1970, Life reported, "Scientists have solid experimental and theoretical evidence to support...the following predictions: In a decade, urban dwellers will have to wear gas masks to survive air pollution...by 1985 air pollution will have

reduced the amount of sunlight reaching earth by one half..."

14. Ecologist Kenneth Watt declared, "By the year 2000, if present trends continue, we will be using up crude oil at such a rate...that there won't be any more crude oil. You'll drive up to the pump and say, 'Fill 'er up, buddy,' and he'll say, 'I am very sorry, there isn't any.'"

16. Sen. Gaylord Nelson wrote in Look that, "Dr. S. Dillon Ripley, secretary of the Smithsonian Institute, believes that in 25 years, somewhere between 75 and 80 percent of all the species of living animals will be extinct." that would be 1995.

The full list of these predictions and subsequent comment can be found here:-

<http://www.aei.org/publication/18-spectacularly-wrong-predictions-made-around-the-time-of-first-earth-day-in-1970-expect-more-this-year-3/>

I reproduce this not to trivialise your concerns but to show many "rock solid" predictions do not stand the test of time.

Taking the matter of 5G then and my personal opinion.

"All radiation irrespective of frequency or amplitude is part of the designated electro-magnetizing spectrum which ranges from long to short wave with light and radio frequencies somewhere about the middle. Humans are happy to have X-rays, Tomography, Laser treatments, CAT scans etc all using these fundamental waves emitted and focused (high energy) from various sources. Most notably the Sun emits most of these frequencies (including the mm band width) over which we have no control nor the technology to prevent harm it may do.

The point I make its that we are already surrounded by such phenomena, (much of which has not be researched) and have been, since the formation of the planet and the eventual

development of Humans and other species. We have adapted and will continue to adapt. 4G, 5G etc have all been predicted to cause harm to human users, but the predictions of Cancer etc have just not come to pass. Finally 5G is not one band width, its spread over a number of frequencies being used by the various suppliers. Given this fact its impact will be diluted, as it is now with all other radio frequency band widths”.

Without some really substantial evidence to support the case for the Appeal beyond speculative theoretical conjecture in its contents, the Council does not want to comment further.

Before you reach to reply to my comments I note from your own web site that you have also written to Cllr Pete Marland Leader of Milton Keynes Council and I reproduce your comments here

“I am bringing to your attention the scientifically established fact that the rollout of 5G technology and the experimental LED carrier assets are indictable offenses under domestic and international laws, and a crime against humanity and the environment. PHE have already warned of the health risk. Your involvement in the deployment, deception, misinformation and cover-up in the wider community and continued support for this crime have been noted. Any further support of this indictable offense provided by you once you have been informed by this letter will demonstrate your real intent in regard to this crime.

To mitigate your involvement, we demand that you cease and desist from your role in the rollout of 5G, so that the main architects of this criminal enterprise can be held accountable, while those who have been coerced into involvement can be seen to have acted in less serious roles. The Accessories and Abettors act 1861 as amended by the Criminal Law Act 1977 covers all those who can be shown to have known of this human rights crime yet who have not acted in accordance with the law.”

Really? I note your stance and approach to this matter and will advise the Council accordingly. There's no need to write.

The Parish Council wishes you well in your campaign and thanks you for drawing it to our attention.

Yours Sincerely

G A Davison

Chairman Stantonbury Parish Council