

correspondence with BBC

Dear 5G concerned,

I have received a weak response from the BBC and have written the below reply with the help of information from another 5G campaigner. The BBC will no doubt just send a copy and paste answer stating that they "have not breached any regulations" after which I will have no right of reply...

So now it is up to you to write/call in and complain:

BBC Complaints online:
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/complaints/complain-online/>
Complaints Telephone Line: Tel. 0370 010 0222
BBC Five Live text line: 85058

Five Live really needs to get many text messages on this as they have allowed someone on air spouting all kinds of nonsense about 5G – without counter balance – but never had a serious discussion about its potential Health or Environmental effects. A text is quick so I ask you all to at least do this: 85058

Many thanks from the 5G Rebel ☐

Dear BBC,

Thank you for your prompt reply to my complaint about lack of coverage of the Health- and Environmental concerns regarding 5G technology. I am afraid that my complaint remains.

You state that you "understand that I feel there has not been enough coverage on the potentially harmful effects of 5G Wireless technology." I do not just feel this way. It is a fact:

The BBC website shows 15 articles relating to Huawei and 5G dated between 1st and 18th February.

However, no coverage at all has been given to the Health or Environmental impact of 5G, in spite of members of the public having called in to radio programmes and submitted information to the BBC on several occasions.

This is not simply a matter of "editorial decisions", it is clear bias. Nor is it simply a matter of "not everyone will

agree with our choices on which stories to cover". It is a clear breach in duty of care where the Public are not informed of the potentially very harmful consequences of 5G wireless technology. A technology which hitherto has been one-sidedly lauded on the BBC. For, apart from a number of articles on security concerns, there has been nothing on the potential biological effects from pulsed millimeter radiation which soon will be coming to people from close proximity. My question is why?

In order to resolve this bias, the BBC ought to immediately provide an equivalent level of coverage across its TV, Website and Radio on the health and environmental concerns relating to 5G. As a start, coverage should include the appeal by doctors and scientists calling for an urgent moratorium on 5G <http://www.5gappeal.eu/scientists-and-doctors-warn-of.../>. Coverage should also include commentary from appropriately qualified experts in the field, for example, one of the listed signatories to the appeal and finally commence the serious debate that this topic deserves.

NB: If the lack in objectivity is not rectified within the near future I will not hesitate to take this further. The lack of serious and comprehensive coverage of a technology that will affect many lives in the near future is a clear breach in the neutrality that the BBC prides itself on and its duty to inform the Public of the seriousness of the issues beggars belief.

I expect a proper and comprehensive reply to this email, not a copy and paste affair where you simply state that you have't breached any regulations. This issue will not go away and I ask you to look into it anew – from all angles.

Thank you in advance,

Yours sincerely,

Tanja Katarina Rebel

On Tue, Feb 19, 2019 at 3:23 PM

bbc_complaints_website@bbc.co.uk

<bbc_complaints_website@bbc.co.uk> wrote:

Dear Mrs Rebel

Reference CAS-5323796-5MDQ18

Thanks for getting touch with us about Radio 5 Live and our News coverage.

I understand you feel there has not been enough coverage on

the potentially harmful effects of 5G Wireless technology. We know that not everyone will agree with our choices on which stories to cover, or the order in which they appear. Our news editors make these complex decisions, based on the editorial merit of all the stories at hand. We accept that not everyone will agree with each decision – various factors are at play and there's often debate in the newsroom too.

Editorial decisions are more of a judgement call, than an exact science – so you'll even find variety from one BBC programme to the next.

We appreciate the feedback that our audience give us when it's felt a story has been overlooked, it too can inform our on-going work. Suggestions for other stories to consider can be made here:

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/have_your_say

Furthermore, we appreciate that fact you tried to phone in and contribute to the programme. However, since there is such a large volume of people trying to ring in to 5 Live breakfast there is no guarantee that your call will be put on air.

Nevertheless, we do value your feedback. All complaints are sent to senior management and in this case the Radio 5 Live team every morning, and I included your points in our overnight report of audience feedback.

These reports are among the most widely read sources of feedback in the BBC and ensures that your concerns have been sent by the right people quickly. This helps inform their decisions about current and future programmes.

Thanks, once again for getting in touch with us.

Kind regards

Conor Walsh

BBC Complaints Team

www.bbc.co.uk/complaints

NB This is sent from an outgoing account only which is not monitored. You cannot reply to this email address but if necessary please contact us via our webform quoting any case number we provided.

Dear BBC,

For months, I have emailed, texted and called the BBC – in particular BBC Five Live – regarding the serious Health and Environmental Concerns about 5G Wireless Technology expressed by thousands of scientists across the Globe. Despite this,

there has not been any serious coverage about these concerns. Instead, programmes such as Bottom Line on Radio Four talk about the technical advantages of 5G, whilst not linking it to the biological effects. This morning, Radio Five Live Breakfast allowed a man on air spouting his venom about the "hum kum" on Social Media with regards to 5G and how it all was nonsense. I immediately called in to point out that many concerns about 5G come from serious scientists who have published peer-reviewed studies, many of which I have sent to the BBC, and how this deserves to be aired. However, I was not allowed on air and this gentleman's words were never contested.

To say that this is biased would be an understatement. What's more, it is bad journalism. I wonder whether anyone at the BBC has seriously looked into the links that I have emailed in? One of them is a petition to the UN, WHO and all the Governments of the world for a Moratorium on 5G in Space and on Earth, signed by over 40:000 people and over a thousand scientists. It has links to hundreds of studies on the subject.

The BBC has a duty to inform the Public of 5G potential Health and Environmental effects, but until now it has seriously breached this duty. I therefore kindly ask the BBC once again to look into this issue as a matter of urgency, to make the studies known to the Public and to link any coverage of 5G, whether it be technical or concerned with security, to the potential biological effects which scientists have repeatedly raised. 5G is not just technical, financial or linked to security, it is also linked to Public Health, the Environment AND Human Rights. A 5G roll-out without Due Diligence or Public Consultation will entail 24/7 mandatory radiation and thus constitute a Crime against Humanity.

I am looking forward to a speedy reply,

Yours sincerely,

Tanja Katarina Rebel